

Seow Zhi Qi, CFA

+65 6530 7348

zhiqi@ocbc.com

Office REITs – Is Working From Home the Future?

In 1Q2020, the Grade A CBD office in Singapore saw rent slip 0.4% q/q to SGD11.50 psf pm according to CBRE Research. This was the first decline after ten quarters of rental growth. Vacancy rate though has improved to 2.4%. Island wide, rents fell by 0.8% q/q with vacancy rate higher at 11.0% (4Q2019: 10.5%). Looking ahead, the office sector is expected to experience some pain as tenants downsize, put expansion plans on hold and adopt remote working. Non-renewal of upcoming lease expiries, coupled with subdued new demand is likely to lead to higher vacancy rates and lower rents. While natural lease expiries could be the most immediate pain point, the office REITs have single to low double digit leases expiring in 2020. Broadly, we expect these lease maturities to be manageable.

Looming economic recession

While some may argue that we are already in the midst of a recession, we think either way the knock on effects on the office sector are companies (1) downsizing, (2) putting expansion plans on hold, (3) relocate to another place outside Singapore or (4) cease operations entirely. We think these changes could be a result of a weaker operating environment for businesses, topline figures contracting as well as practicing prudence in times of uncertainty. Uber, the U.S. ride-hailing company, for example, is moving out of its APAC HQ at Frasers Tower. That said, Alibaba Group, the Chinese e-Commerce giant has recently purchased a 50% stake in AXA Tower which is across the street from Frasers Tower. Evidently, there are companies who still managed to grow in this difficult time but given the widespread impact of COVID-19, we expect such companies to be the minority.

Two months WFH trial

Since the implementation of the circuit breaker in Singapore on April 7, companies had to make large scale telecommuting arrangements for their office employees. Most companies in Singapore perhaps have never had such a high proportion of their employees work from home for such prolonged period prior to the outbreak of the pandemic. We think the pandemic has unintentionally brought about an eight week long work from home business continuity trial for companies, and both companies and employees alike have much to take away from this exercise. Depending on the sector the company is in and the type of work its employees perform, for some, working from home was always been viable but just not tested. There have been reports and talks on how productivity quickly returned to normal as employees adapt and leverage existing technologies to conduct their daily responsibilities. Specifically, we think knowledge workers and IT firms are most suitable for remote working. In addition, working from home has also allowed working parents to be physically present for their children. We think this is particularly beneficial for working parents with young children.

WFH reduces operating cost for companies

Simply put, having employees work from home is cheaper for companies than work from office. Companies can save on rent, maintenance expenses and other utilities expenses to run the office venue. Should productivity not be compromised, we expect companies to become more accepting of the remote working, and this is especially so after having actually trialed it. This bring about deliberations among management of companies on the value add of office spaces, whether those spaces are worth the cost and whether their companies actually need all of the office spaces they currently have. According to Economic Development Board (published on 11 Feb 2020), in 2018, rental of building and premises made up less than 5% of total operating cost excluding labour cost, material costs and depreciation for the relevant sectors such as Information & Communications, Finance & Insurance, Business Services and Precision Engineering. While the percentage may not be high for a typical office tenant, at a time where all costs are being looked at especially less essential costs, we expect rental costs to be considered as well.

Office spaces exist for good reasons

There are no doubt benefits to having a venue for employees to gather, particularly for roles which requires teamwork and comradeship. Being in a common space allows employees to have spontaneous

intellectual exchanges which may spark good new ideas. Also, communication among colleagues is more casual and less effortful. Working from office encourages a lot more contact and interaction relative to working remotely. Therefore, having an office space also allows companies to stay connected to their employees and employees to connect among themselves organically. This can help develop corporate culture and build loyalty which may sum up to greater productivity and innovation. We think the benefits could be longer term ones.

Our View and what it means for office REITs

Working from home is here to stay. The bigger question is to what extent? Will companies be allowing their employees to work from home one day a week? Or two weeks every month? Will it become common practice that companies have a 10-20% of their employees work from home? The risk for office REITs is demand risk stemming from companies evaluating the cost of their office real estate footprint and becoming more comfortable with the concept of remote working. We expect more corporations transit to a hybrid model permanently, with both working from home and working from office capabilities. Such a model can possibly be beneficial for the company in times of economic slump and public health emergencies like the one we are facing today. This shift towards remote working will be structural, and demand for office spaces may go down progressively. At present, we are seeing U.S. corporations step forward to implement permanent work from home for their employees. Some of the names are Twitter, Square, Facebook and Shopify (mostly tech-related companies) while in India new legislations are being mulled to facilitate industry wide move towards working from home for employees in the tech industry. For now, we do not expect this to be the norm in Singapore and we think it is premature to say that office days are over but the office sector will experience some pain as a result. We also expect the lower rent and higher vacancy rate due to lower demand to be manageable for the office REITs. Natural lease expiries could be the most immediate pain point. Overall, the office REITs have single to low double digit leases expiring in 2020. Keppel REIT (“KREIT”) has the lowest proportion of leases expiring in 2020 (4.7%) while Suntec REIT (“SUN”) has the highest at 13.0%. That said, SUN’s 13.0% refers to its Singapore office portfolio which make up ~62% of total portfolio based on portfolio valuation. SUN has retail and convention segments as well as exposures to Australia. Broadly, we expect these lease maturities to be manageable.

2020 Expiring Leases for Office REITs

REIT	Issuer Profile	% of office leases expiring in 2020 based on gross rent	Weighted average lease expiry by NLA in years
CapitaLand Commercial Trust	Neutral (3)	10% ¹	3.7
Keppel REIT	Neutral (4)	4.7%	3.7
Mapletree Commercial Trust	Neutral (3)	~8.0%	2.9
Suntec REIT	Neutral (4)	~13.0% ^{2,3}	3.3 ³

Source: Company, OCBC (based on latest financial results)

¹Majority of the leases are under advanced negotiation as per disclosure in April 2020 presentation

²Based on NLA instead of Gross Rent

³Refers to Singapore portfolio only

Explanation of Issuer Profile Rating / Issuer Profile Score

Positive (“Pos”) – The issuer’s credit profile is either strong on an absolute basis, or expected to improve to a strong position over the next six months.

Neutral (“N”) – The issuer’s credit profile is fair on an absolute basis, or expected to improve / deteriorate to a fair level over the next six months.

Negative (“Neg”) – The issuer’s credit profile is either weaker or highly geared on an absolute basis, or expected to deteriorate to a weak or highly geared position over the next six months.

To better differentiate relative credit quality of the issuers under our coverage, we have further sub-divided our Issuer Profile Ratings into a 7 point Issuer Profile Score scale.

IPR	Positive		Neutral			Negative	
IPS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

Please note that Bond Recommendations are dependent on a bond’s price, underlying risk free rates and an implied credit spread that reflects the strength of the issuer’s credit profile. Bond Recommendations may not be relied upon if one or more of these factors change.

Explanation of Bond Recommendation

Overweight (“OW”) – The bond represents **better relative value** compared to other bonds from the same issuer, or bonds of other issuers with similar tenor and comparable risk profile.

Neutral (“N”) – The represents **fair relative value** compared to other bonds from the same issuer, or bonds of other issuers with similar tenor and comparable risk profile.

Underweight (“UW”) – The represents **weaker relative value** compared to other bonds from the same issuer, or bonds of other issuers with similar tenor and comparable risk profile.

Other

Suspension – We may suspend our issuer rating and bond level recommendation on specific issuers from time to time when OCBC is engaged in other business activities with the issuer. Examples of such activities include acting as a joint lead manager or book runner in a new issue or as an agent in a consent solicitation exercise. We will resume our coverage once these activities are completed.

Withdrawal (“WD”) – We may withdraw our issuer rating and bond level recommendation on specific issuers from time to time when corporate actions are announced but the outcome of these actions are highly uncertain. We will resume our coverage once there is sufficient clarity in our view on the impact of the proposed action.

Treasury Research & Strategy

Macro Research

Selena Ling

Head of Strategy & Research
LingSSSelena@ocbc.com

Tommy Xie Dongming

Head of Greater China Research
XieD@ocbc.com

Wellian Wiranto

Malaysia & Indonesia
WellianWiranto@ocbc.com

Terence Wu

FX Strategist
TerenceWu@ocbc.com

Howie Lee

Thailand, Korea & Commodities
HowieLee@ocbc.com

Carie Li

Hong Kong & Macau
carierli@ocbcwh.com

Dick Yu

Hong Kong & Macau
dicksny@ocbcwh.com

Credit Research

Andrew Wong

Credit Research Analyst
WongVKAM@ocbc.com

Ezien Hoo

Credit Research Analyst
EzienHoo@ocbc.com

Wong Hong Wei

Credit Research Analyst
WongHongWei@ocbc.com

Seow Zhi Qi

Credit Research Analyst
ZhiQiSeow@ocbc.com

Analyst Declaration

The analyst(s) who wrote this report and/or her or his respective connected persons did not hold financial interests in the above-mentioned issuer or company as at the time of the publication of this report.

Disclaimer for research report

This publication is solely for information purposes only and may not be published, circulated, reproduced or distributed in whole or in part to any other person without our prior written consent. This publication should not be construed as an offer or solicitation for the subscription, purchase or sale of the securities/instruments mentioned herein. Any forecast on the economy, stock market, bond market and economic trends of the markets provided is not necessarily indicative of the future or likely performance of the securities/instruments. Whilst the information contained herein has been compiled from sources believed to be reliable and we have taken all reasonable care to ensure that the information contained in this publication is not untrue or misleading at the time of publication, we cannot guarantee and we make no representation as to its accuracy or completeness, and you should not act on it without first independently verifying its contents. The securities/instruments mentioned in this publication may not be suitable for investment by all investors. Any opinion or estimate contained in this report is subject to change without notice. We have not given any consideration to and we have not made any investigation of the investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs of the recipient or any class of persons, and accordingly, no warranty whatsoever is given and no liability whatsoever is accepted for any loss arising whether directly or indirectly as a result of the recipient or any class of persons acting on such information or opinion or estimate. This publication may cover a wide range of topics and is not intended to be a comprehensive study or to provide any recommendation or advice on personal investing or financial planning. Accordingly, they should not be relied on or treated as a substitute for specific advice concerning individual situations. Please seek advice from a financial adviser regarding the suitability of any investment product taking into account your specific investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs before you make a commitment to purchase the investment product. OCBC and/or its related and affiliated corporations may at any time make markets in the securities/instruments mentioned in this publication and together with their respective directors and officers, may have or take positions in the securities/instruments mentioned in this publication and may be engaged in purchasing or selling the same for themselves or their clients, and may also perform or seek to perform broking and other investment or securities-related services for the corporations whose securities are mentioned in this publication as well as other parties generally.

This report is intended for your sole use and information. By accepting this report, you agree that you shall not share, communicate, distribute, deliver a copy of or otherwise disclose in any way all or any part of this report or any information contained herein (such report, part thereof and information, "Relevant Materials") to any person or entity (including, without limitation, any overseas office, affiliate, parent entity, subsidiary entity or related entity) (any such person or entity, a "Relevant Entity") in breach of any law, rule, regulation, guidance or similar. In particular, you agree not to share, communicate, distribute, deliver or otherwise disclose any Relevant Materials to any Relevant Entity that is subject to the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (2014/65/EU) ("MiFID") and the EU's Markets in Financial Instruments Regulation (600/2014) ("MiFIR") (together referred to as "MiFID II"), or any part thereof, as implemented in any jurisdiction. No member of the OCBC Group shall be liable or responsible for the compliance by you or any Relevant Entity with any law, rule, regulation, guidance or similar (including, without limitation, MiFID II, as implemented in any jurisdiction).

Co.Reg.no.:193200032W